

Anti-commutative Gröbner-Shirshov basis of a free Lie algebra

L. A. Bokut*

School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University
Guangzhou 510631, P. R. China
Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Russian Academy of Sciences
Siberian Branch, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia
Email: bokut@math.nsc.ru

Yuqun Chen^{†‡} and Yu Li

School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University
Guangzhou 510631, P. R. China
Email: yqchen@scnu.edu.cn
LiYu820615@126.com

Abstract: One of the natural ways to prove that the Hall words (Philip Hall, 1933) consist of a basis of a free Lie algebra is a direct construction: to start with a linear space spanned by Hall words, to define the Lie product of Hall words, and then to check that the product yields the Lie identities (Marshall Hall, 1950). Here we suggest another way using the Composition-Diamond lemma for free anti-commutative (non-associative) algebras (A.I. Shirshov, 1962).

Key words: Lie algebra, anti-commutative algebra, Hall words, Gröbner-Shirshov basis
AMS 2000 Subject Classification: 16S15, 13P10, 17Bxx

1 Introduction

The history of the Hall basis (M. Hall, 1950, [8]) of a free Lie algebra is rather peculiar. P. Hall (1933, [7]) invented the basic commutators for a free group. From his paper, it followed that any element of a free Lie algebra is a linear combination of the basic Lie commutators. W. Magnus (1937, [10]) and E. Witt (1937, [17]) proved that the Lie algebra obtained from a free associative algebra is free. By the way, a simple proof of this result had been lately found by A.I. Shirshov [13] (using Lyndon–Shirshov words). Using this result, E. Witt found the Witt formula for dimension of L^n/L^{n-1} , where L

*Supported by the RFBR and the Integration Grant of the SB RAS (No. 1.9).

[†]Corresponding author.

[‡]Supported by the NNSF of China (No.10771077) and the NSF of Guangdong Province (No.06025062).

is a free Lie algebra (the same paper). From the Witt formula, it may be seen that basic Lie monomials must be linearly independent in the free Lie algebra; according to K.W. Gruenberg [6], P. Hall knew this fact. Despite all of that, M. Hall [8] was the first who formulated and proved that “the Hall words” constitute a linear basis of a free Lie algebra. He used a direct construction of a free Lie algebra: to start with a linear space spanned by Hall words, to define the Lie product of Hall words, and then to check that the product yields the Lie identities. For more general words, the analogous method had been used lately by A.I. Shirshov [15]. Shirshov’s bases of a free Lie algebra, or better to say Hall-Shirshov’s bases (cf. [11]), contain the Hall basis, the Lyndon-Shirshov basis ([13], [4]), as well as bases that lead to bases of free solvable (poly-nilpotent) Lie algebras [1]. By the way they do not contain the left normed basis by E.S. Chibrikov [5].

In this paper, we are giving a detail proof of the Shirshov’s (Composition–Diamond) lemma for free anti-commutative algebras, mostly following his original paper [14] with some improvement in the terminology following Bokut [2]. (Shirshov avoids “composition of inclusion” proving that starting with any finite set of “polynomials”, one can effectively find an “equivalent” set with no composition of inclusion at all). As far as we understand, this lemma was a step toward to a much more involved but of the same kind of lemma for free Lie algebras (see [16]). The last paper is now wildly recognized as a pioneering paper in the theory of Göbner–Shirshov bases for Lie and associative algebras. Let us recall that the same kind of theory for commutative associative algebras is mostly due to B. Buchberger [3] though some ideas were discovered by H. Hironaka [9]. Speaking about Shirshov’s lemma for free anti-commutative algebras, it is of course a (main) part of his “Gröbner–Shirshov bases” theory for anti-commutative algebras from the same paper [14]. His main application was a simple and conceptual proof of the algorithmic decidability of the word problem for any finitely presented anti-commutative (commutative) algebra. It is an analogy of Zhukov’s theorem for non-associative algebras (cf. [18]).

We present here also a new proof that the Hall words are linearly independent based on Shirshov’s Composition-Diamond lemma for anti-commutative algebras [14]. We use also a direct construction of a free anti-commutative algebra following A.I. Shirshov [12].

2 Direct construction of a free anti-commutative algebra AC(X)

Let $X = \{x_i | i \in I\}$ be a well ordered set, X^* the set of all associative words u in X , and X^{**} the set of all non-associative words (u) in X . We assume (u) as a bracketing of u . As a rule, we will omit “non-associative” in the expression “non-associative word”. Then we define normal words $N = \{[u]\}$ and order of them by induction on the length $n = |[u]|$ of $[u]$:

(i) If $n = 1$, then $[u] = x_i$ is a normal word. Define $x_i > x_j$ if $i > j$.

Let $N_{n-1} = \{[u] | [u] \text{ is a normal word and } |[u]| \leq n-1\}$, $n > 1$ and suppose that “ $<$ ” is a total order on N_{n-1} . Then

(ii) If $n > 1$ and $(u) = ((v)(w))$ is a word of length n , then (u) is a normal word, if and only if

- (a) both (v) and (w) are normal words, that is, $(v) = [v]$ and $(w) = [w]$, and
- (b) $[v] > [w]$.

Define “ $<$ ”: Let $[u], [v]$ be normal words of length $\leq n$. Then $[u] < [v]$, if and only if one of the following three cases holds:

- (a) $|[u]| < n$, $|[v]| < n$ and $[u] < [v]$.
- (b) $|[u]| < n$ and $|[v]| = n$.
- (c) If $|[u]| = |[v]| = n$, $[u] = [[u_1][u_2]]$ and $[v] = [[v_1][v_2]]$, then $[u_1] < [v_1]$ or $([u_1] = [v_1] \text{ and } [u_2] < [v_2])$.

It is clear that the order “ $<$ ” on N is a well order. This order is called deg-lex (degree-lexicographical) order and we use this order through this paper.

Let k be a field and $AC(X)$ be a k -space spanned by normal words. Let us define the product of normal words by the following way:

$$[u][v] = \begin{cases} [[u][v]] & : [u] > [v] \\ -[[v][u]] & : [u] < [v] \\ 0 & : [u] = [v] \end{cases}$$

Remark By definition, for any $(u) \in X^{**}$, there exists a unique $[v] \in N$ such that $(u) = \pm[v]$ or 0. We will denote $[v]$ by $\widetilde{(u)}$ sometimes if $(u) \neq 0$.

Theorem 2.1 (*A.I. Shirshov, [12]*) $AC(X)$ is a free anti-commutative algebra generated by X .

Proof. Suppose that $f = \sum_i \alpha_i [u_i]$, $g = \sum_j \beta_j [v_j] \in AC(X)$, where $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in k$ and $[u_i], [v_j]$ are normal words. Then

$$fg + gf = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j ([u_i][v_j] + [v_j][u_i]) = 0.$$

So, $AC(X)$ is an anti-commutative algebra. We will prove $AC(X)$ is free on X . Let A be an anti-commutative algebra and ξ be a map from X to A . Then we define

$$\bar{\xi} : AC(X) \longrightarrow A, \quad [x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_n}] \mapsto (\xi(x_{i_1})\xi(x_{i_2}) \cdots \xi(x_{i_n})),$$

where $[x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_n}]$ is a normal word. It is easy to check that $\bar{\xi}$ is the unique algebra homomorphism such that

$$\bar{\xi} \circ i = \xi,$$

where $i : X \rightarrow AC(X)$ is the including mapping. This completes our proof. $\square$

3 Composition-Diamond Lemma for $AC(X)$

In this section, we establish Composition-Diamond lemma for the free anti-commutative algebra $AC(X)$.

Let $N = \{[u] \mid [u] \text{ is a normal word}\}$ and “ $<$ ” be the deg-lex order on N as before. It is easy to check that “ $<$ ” is a monomial well order in the following sense:

$$[u] > [v] \Rightarrow (\widetilde{[u][w]}) > (\widetilde{[v][w]}) \quad (1)$$

where $[w] \neq [u]$, $[w] \neq [v]$. As a result, we have:

$$[u] > [v] \Rightarrow [a[u]b] > (\widetilde{a[v]b}) \quad (2)$$

where $[a[u]b]$ is a normal word with subword $[u]$ and $(\widetilde{a[v]b}) = [a[u]b]_{[u] \mapsto [v]}$.

Given a polynomial $f \in AC(X)$, it has the leading word $[\bar{f}] \in N$ according to the above order on N , such that

$$f = \sum_{[u] \in N} f([u])[u] = \alpha[\bar{f}] + \sum \alpha_i[u_i],$$

where $[\bar{f}] > [u_i]$, $\alpha, \alpha_i, f([u]) \in k$. We call $[\bar{f}]$ the leading term of f . Denote the set $\{[u] \mid f([u]) \neq 0\}$ by $\text{supp} f$ and $\deg(f)$ by $|\bar{f}|$. f is called monic if $\alpha = 1$.

Definition 3.1 Let $S \subset AC(X)$ be a set of monic polynomials, $s \in S$ and $(u) \in X^{**}$. We define S -word $(u)_s$ by induction:

(i) $(s)_s = s$ is an S -word of S -length 1.

(ii) If $(u)_s$ is an S -word of S -length k and (v) is a nonassociative word of length l , then

$$(u)_s(v) \text{ and } (v)(u)_s$$

are S -words of length $k + l$.

The S -length of an S -word $(u)_s$ will be denoted by $|u|_s$.

Definition 3.2 S -word $(u)_s$ is called normal S -word, if $(u)_{[\bar{s}]} = (a[\bar{s}]b)$ is a normal word. We denote $(u)_s$ by $[u]_s$, if $(u)_s$ is a normal S -word. We also call the normal S -word $[u]_s$ to be normal s -word. From (2) it follows that $\overline{[u]_s} = [u]_{[\bar{s}]}$.

Let f, g be monic polynomials in $AC(X)$. Suppose that there exist $a, b \in X^*$ such that $[\bar{f}] = [a[\bar{g}]b]$, where $[agb]$ is a normal g -word. Then we set $[w] = [\bar{f}]$ and define the composition of inclusion

$$(f, g)_{[w]} = f - [agb].$$

We note that

$$(f, g)_{[w]} \in \text{Id}(f, g) \text{ and } \overline{(f, g)_{[w]}} < [w].$$

Transformation, $f \mapsto f - [agb]$ is called the Elimination of Leading Word (ELW) of g in f .

Given a nonempty subset $S \subset AC(X)$, we shall say that the composition $(f, g)_{[w]}$ is trivial modulo $(S, [w])$, if

$$(f, g)_{[w]} = \sum_i \alpha_i [a_i s_i b_i],$$

where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $a_i, b_i \in X^*$, $s_i \in S$, $[a_i s_i b_i]$ is normal S-word and $[a_i [\bar{s}_i] b_i] < [w]$. If this is the case, then we write $(f, g)_{[w]} \equiv 0 \pmod{(S, [w])}$.

Let us note that if $(f, g)_{[w]}$ goes to 0 by ELW's of S , then $(f, g)_{[w]} \equiv 0 \pmod{(S, [w])}$. Indeed, using ELW's of S , we have

$$(f, g)_{[w]} \mapsto (f, g)_{[w]} - \alpha_1 [a_1 s_1 b_1] = f_2 \mapsto f_2 - \alpha_2 [a_2 s_2 b_2] \mapsto \cdots \mapsto 0.$$

So, $(f, g)_{[w]} = \sum_i \alpha_i [a_i s_i b_i]$ where $[a_i [\bar{s}_i] b_i] \leq \overline{(f, g)_{[w]}} < [w]$.

In general, for $p, q \in AC(X)$, we write

$$p \equiv q \pmod{(S, [w])}$$

which means that $p - q = \sum \alpha_i [a_i s_i b_i]$, where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $a_i, b_i \in X^*$, $s_i \in S$ and $[a_i [\bar{s}_i] b_i] < [w]$.

Definition 3.3 Let $S \subset AC(X)$ be a nonempty set of monic polynomials and the order “ $<$ ” as before. Then the set S is called a Gröbner-Shirshov basis, if any composition $(f, g)_{[w]}$ with $f, g \in S$ is trivial modulo $(S, [w])$, i.e., $(f, g)_{[w]} \equiv 0 \pmod{(S, [w])}$.

Lemma 3.4 Let $[v]_s$ be a normal s-word and $[w], [w']$ normal words. If $[w] > [w']$ and $[w] > [v]_{[\bar{s}]}$, then s-word $([w'] [v]_s)$ has a representation:

$$([w'] [v]_s) = -([v]_s [w']) = \sum_i \alpha_i [u_i]_s,$$

where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $[u_i]_s$ normal s-word and $[u_i]_{[\bar{s}]} < \min\{[[w] [v]_{[\bar{s}}], [[w] [w']]]\}$.

Proof. Suppose that

$$[v]_s = \gamma [v]_{[\bar{s}]} + \sum_n \gamma_n [v_n],$$

where $0 \neq \gamma, \gamma_n \in k$, $[v]_{[\bar{s}]}, [v_n] \in N$ and $[v_n] < [v]_{[\bar{s}]}$. Now we consider the following three cases:

- (a) If $[v]_{[\bar{s}]} < [w']$, then $([w'] [v]_s)$ is already a normal s-word and $[[w'] [v]_{[\bar{s}}] < \min\{[[w] [v]_{[\bar{s}}], [[w] [w']]]\}$.
- (b) If $[v]_{[\bar{s}]} > [w']$, then

$$([w'] [v]_s) = -([v]_s [w']).$$

Here $([v]_s [w'])$ is a normal s-word and $[[v]_{[\bar{s}]} [w']] < \min\{[[w] [v]_{[\bar{s}}], [[w] [w']]]\}$.

(c) If $[v]_{[\bar{s}]} = [w']$, then

$$([w']_s) = ([v]_{[\bar{s}]}[v]_s) = \sum_n \gamma^{-1} \gamma_n([v]_s[v_n]),$$

since

$$0 = [v]_s[v]_s = (\gamma[v]_{[\bar{s}]} + \sum_n \gamma_n[v_n])[v]_s.$$

Now, clearly, each $([v]_s[v_n])$ is normal s -word and $[[v]_{[\bar{s}]}[v_n]] < \min\{[[w][v]_{[\bar{s}]}], [[w][w']]\}$.
 $\square$

Lemma 3.5 *Let $(u)_s$ be an S -word. Then $(u)_s$ has a representation:*

$$(u)_s = \sum_i \alpha_i [u_i]_s,$$

where each $\alpha_i \in k$ and $[u_i]_s$ is normal s -word.

Proof. We use induction on $|u|_s$. If $|u|_s = 1$, then $(u)_s = s$ and the result holds. If $|u|_s > 1$, then $(u)_s = (v)_s(w)$ or $(u)_s = (w)(v)_s$. Here we consider the case $(u)_s = (v)_s(w)$. The other one is similarly proved. By induction,

$$(v)_s = \sum_j \beta_j [v_j]_s,$$

where $\beta_j \in k$ and $[v_j]_s$ is normal s -word. Without loss of generality, we may assume $(v)_s$ is a normal s -word and (w) is a normal word. Then $(u)_s = ([v]_s[w])$. Just like the proof in the Lemma 3.4, we know that $(u)_s = ([v]_s[w])$ is a linear combination of normal s -words.

The proof is completed. $\square$

Lemma 3.6 *Let $[u]_s = [a'[v]a''sb]$ (or $[u]_s = [asb'[v]b'']$) be a normal s -word, $[w]$ a normal word and $[v] > [w]$. Then, the s -word $(u')_s = (a'[w]a''sb) = [a'[v]a''sb]|_{[v] \mapsto [w]}$ has a representation:*

$$(u')_s = \sum_i \alpha_i [u_i]_s, \tag{3}$$

where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $[u_i]_s$ normal s -words and $[u_i]_{[\bar{s}]} < [u]_{[\bar{s}]}$.

For the s -word $(u')_s = (asb'[w]b'') = [asb'[v]b'']|_{[v] \mapsto [w]}$, it has a similar representation to (3).

Proof. We prove only the first case. The other one is similarly proved. Induction on $|u|_s$. If $|u|_s = |v| + 1$, then $[u]_s = [[v]s]$ and $(u')_s = ([w]s)$. Then the result follows from Lemma 3.4. Suppose that $|u|_s > |v| + 1$ and $[u]_s = [[u_1][u_2]_s]$ or $[u]_s = [[u_1]_s[u_2]]$. We deal with only the case $[u]_s = [[u_1][u_2]_s]$. If $[v]$ is a subword of $[u_1]$, then we let $(u_1^*) = [u_1]|_{[v] \mapsto [w]}$ and let $(u_1^*) = \widetilde{(u_1^*)}$ (if $(u_1^*) = 0$ the case is trivial). Since $[v] > [w]$, by (2), we have $[u_1] > (u_1^*)$. Now, $(u')_s = ((u_1^*)[u_2]_s)$ and the result follows from Lemma 3.4. If $[v]$ is a subword of $[u_2]_s$, then by induction we have $(u_2')_s = \sum \alpha_i [u_i]_s$, where each $[u_i]_{[\bar{s}]} < [u_2]_{[\bar{s}]}$. Then $(u')_s = ([u_1](u_2')_s) = \sum \alpha_i [u_1][u_i]_s$. So, by Lemma 3.4 again, we get the result. $\square$

Lemma 3.7 *Let $[u]_s = [asb]$, $[v]_t$ be normal s - and t - words respectively. If $[v]_{[t]} < [\bar{s}]$, then the t -word $(u)_{[v]_t} = [asb]_{s \mapsto [v]_t}$ has a representation:*

$$(u)_{[v]_t} = \sum_i \alpha_i [u_i]_t,$$

where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $[u_i]_t$ normal t -words and $[u_i]_{[t]} < [u]_{[\bar{s}]}$.

Proof. By induction on $|u|_s$ and Lemma 3.4, we may easily get the result. $\square$

Lemma 3.8 *Let $[a_1 s_1 b_1]$, $[a_2 s_2 b_2]$ be normal S -words. If S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $AC(X)$ and $[w] = [a_1 [\bar{s}_1] b_1] = [a_2 [\bar{s}_2] b_2]$, then*

$$[a_1 s_1 b_1] \equiv [a_2 s_2 b_2] \pmod{(S, [w])}.$$

Proof. We have $a_1 \bar{s}_1 b_1 = a_2 \bar{s}_2 b_2$ as associative words in the alphabet $X \cup \{\bar{s}_1, \bar{s}_2\}$. There are two cases to consider.

Case 1. Suppose that subwords $\bar{s}_1$ and $\bar{s}_2$ of w are disjoint, say, $|a_2| \geq |a_1| + |\bar{s}_1|$. Then, we can assume that

$$a_2 = a_1 \bar{s}_1 c \text{ and } b_1 = c \bar{s}_2 b_2$$

for some $c \in X^*$, and so, $[w] = [a_1 [\bar{s}_1] c [\bar{s}_2] b_2]$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} [a_1 s_1 b_1] - [a_2 s_2 b_2] &= [a_1 s_1 c [\bar{s}_2] b_2] - [a_1 [\bar{s}_1] c s_2 b_2] \\ &= [a_1 s_1 c [\bar{s}_2] b_2] - (a_1 s_1 c s_2 b_2) + (a_1 s_1 c s_2 b_2) - [a_1 [\bar{s}_1] c s_2 b_2] \\ &= (a_1 s_1 c ([\bar{s}_2] - s_2) b_2) + (a_1 (s_1 - [\bar{s}_1]) c s_2 b_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $[\overline{[\bar{s}_2] - s_2}] < [\bar{s}_2]$ and $[\overline{s_1 - [\bar{s}_1]}] < [\bar{s}_1]$, and by the Lemma 3.6, we conclude that

$$[a_1 s_1 b_1] - [a_2 s_2 b_2] = \sum_i \alpha_i [u_i s_1 v_i] + \sum_j \beta_j [u_j s_2 v_j]$$

for some $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in k$, normal S -words $[u_i s_1 v_i]$ and $[u_j s_2 v_j]$ such that $[u_i [\bar{s}_1] v_i], [u_j [\bar{s}_2] v_j] < [w]$. So,

$$[a_1 s_1 b_1] \equiv [a_2 s_2 b_2] \pmod{(S, [w])}.$$

Case 2. Suppose that the subword $\bar{s}_1$ of w contains $\bar{s}_2$ as a subword. We assume that

$$[\bar{s}_1] = [a [\bar{s}_2] b], \quad a_2 = a_1 a \text{ and } b_2 = b b_1, \text{ that is, } [w] = [a_1 [a [\bar{s}_2] b] b_1]$$

for the normal S -word $[as_2b]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [a_1 s_1 b_1] - [a_2 s_2 b_2] &= [a_1 s_1 b_1] - [a_1 [as_2b] b_1] \\ &= (a_1 (s_1 - [as_2b]) b_1) \\ &= (a_1 (s_1, s_2)_{[w_1]} b_1), \end{aligned}$$

where $[w_1] = [\bar{s}_1] = [a [\bar{s}_2] b]$. Since S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis, $(s_1, s_2)_{[w_1]} = \sum_i \alpha_i [c_i s_i d_i]$

for some $\alpha_i \in k$, normal S -words $[c_i s_i d_i]$ with each $[c_i [\bar{s}_i] d_i] < [w_1] = [\bar{s}_1]$. By Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [a_1 s_1 b_1] - [a_2 s_2 b_2] &= (a_1 (s_1, s_2)_{[w_1]} b_1) \\ &= \sum_i \alpha_i (a_1 [c_i s_i d_i] b_1) = \sum_j \beta_j [a_j s_j b_j] \end{aligned}$$

for some $\beta_j \in k$, normal S -words $[a_j s_j b_j]$ with each $[a_j \bar{s}_j b_j] < [w] = [a_1 \bar{s}_1 b_1]$.
So,

$$[a_1 s_1 b_1] \equiv [a_2 s_2 b_2] \pmod{(S, [w])}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.9 *Let $S \subset AC(X)$ be set of monic polynomials and $Red(S) = \{[u] \in N \mid [u] \neq [a \bar{s} b] \text{ } a, b \in X^*, s \in S \text{ and } [asb] \text{ is a normal } S\text{-word}\}$. Then for any $f \in AC(X)$,*

$$f = \sum_{[u_i] \leq [\bar{f}]} \alpha_i [u_i] + \sum_{[a_j \bar{s}_j b_j] \leq [\bar{f}]} \beta_j [a_j s_j b_j],$$

where each $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in k$, $[u_i] \in Red(S)$ and $[a_j s_j b_j]$ normal S -word.

Proof. Let $f = \sum_i \alpha_i [u_i] \in AC(X)$, where $0 \neq \alpha_i \in k$ and $[u_1] > [u_2] > \dots$. If $[u_1] \in Red(S)$, then let $f_1 = f - \alpha_1 [u_1]$. If $[u_1] \notin Red(S)$, then there exist some $s \in S$ and $a_1, b_1 \in X^*$, such that $[\bar{f}] = [a_1 \bar{s}_1 b_1]$. Let $f_1 = f - \alpha_1 [a_1 s_1 b_1]$. In both cases, we have $[\bar{f}_1] < [\bar{f}]$. Then the result follows from the induction on $[\bar{f}]$. $\square$

Theorem 3.10 (Shirshov [14]) *Let $S \subset AC(X)$ be a nonempty set of monic polynomials and the order “ $<$ ” as before. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis.
- (ii) $f \in Id(S) \Rightarrow [\bar{f}] = [a \bar{s} b]$ for some $s \in S$ and $a, b \in X^*$, where $[asb]$ is normal S -word.
- (ii)' $f \in Id(S) \Rightarrow f = \alpha_1 [a_1 s_1 b_1] + \alpha_2 [a_2 s_2 b_2] + \dots$, where $\alpha_i \in k$, $[a_1 \bar{s}_1 b_1] > [a_2 \bar{s}_2 b_2] > \dots$ and each $[as_i b]$ is normal S -word.
- (iii) $Red(S) = \{[u] \in N \mid [u] \neq [a \bar{s} b] \text{ } a, b \in X^*, s \in S \text{ and } [asb] \text{ is a normal } S\text{-word}\}$ is a basis of the algebra $AC(X|S)$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let S be a Gröbner-Shirshov basis and $0 \neq f \in Id(S)$. We can assume, by Lemma 3.5, that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i [a_i s_i b_i],$$

where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $a_i, b_i \in X^*$, $s_i \in S$ and $[a_i s_i b_i]$ normal S -word. Let

$$[w_i] = [a_i \bar{s}_i b_i], [w_1] = [w_2] = \dots = [w_l] > [w_{l+1}] \geq \dots$$

We will use the induction on l and $[w_1]$ to prove that $[\bar{f}] = [a \bar{s} b]$ for some $s \in S$ and $a, b \in X^*$.

If $l = 1$, then $[\bar{f}] = \overline{[a_1 s_1 b_1]} = [a_1 \bar{s}_1 b_1]$ and hence the result holds. Assume that $l \geq 2$. Then, by Lemma 3.8, we have

$$[a_1 s_1 b_1] \equiv [a_2 s_2 b_2] \pmod{(S, [w])}.$$

Thus, if $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \neq 0$ or $l > 2$, then the result holds. For the case $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$ and $l = 2$, we use the induction on $[w_1]$. Now, the result follows.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)'. Assume (ii) and $0 \neq f \in Id(S)$. Let $f = \alpha_1[\bar{f}] + \dots$. Then, by (ii), $[\bar{f}] = [a_1[\bar{s}_1]b_1]$. Therefore,

$$f_1 = f - \alpha_1[a_1s_1b_1], \quad [\bar{f}_1] < [\bar{f}], \quad f_1 \in Id(S).$$

Now, by using induction on $[\bar{f}]$, we have (ii)'.

(ii)' $\Rightarrow$ (ii). This part is clear.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Suppose that $\sum_i \alpha_i[u_i] = 0$ in $AC(X|S)$, where $\alpha_i \in k$, $[u_i] \in Red(S)$. It means that $\sum_i \alpha_i[u_i] \in Id(S)$ in $AC(X)$. Then all α_i must be equal to zero. Otherwise, $\overline{\sum_i \alpha_i[u_i]} = [u_j] \in Red(S)$ for some j which contradicts (ii).

Now, for any $f \in AC(X)$, by Lemma 3.9, we have

$$f = \sum_{[u_i] \in Red(S), [u_i] \leq [\bar{f}]} \alpha_i[u_i] + \sum_{[a_j s_j b_j] - normal, [a_j[\bar{s}_j]b_j] \leq [\bar{f}]} \beta_j[a_j s_j b_j].$$

So, (iii) follows.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). For any $f, g \in S$, by Lemma 3.9, we have

$$(f, g)_{[w]} = \sum_{[u_i] \in Red(S), [u_i] < [w]} \alpha_i[u_i] + \sum_{[a_j s_j b_j] - normal, [a_j[\bar{s}_j]b_j] < [w]} \beta_j[a_j s_j b_j].$$

Since $(f, g)_{[w]} \in Id(S)$ and by (iii), we have

$$(f, g)_{[w]} = \sum_{[a_j s_j b_j] - normal, [a_j[\bar{s}_j]b_j] < [w]} \beta_j[a_j s_j b_j].$$

Therefore, S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis. $\square$

4 Gröbner-Shirshov basis for a free Lie algebra

In this section, we represent the free Lie algebra by the free anti-commutative algebra and give a Gröbner-Shirshov basis for a free Lie algebra.

The proof of the following theorem is straightforward and we omit the detail.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $AC(X)$ be free anti-commutative algebra and let*

$$S = \{([u][v])[w] - ([u][w])[v] - [u]([v][w]) \mid [u], [v], [w] \in N \text{ and } [u] > [v] > [w]\}.$$

Then the algebra $AC(X|S)$ is the free Lie algebra generated by X . $\square$

We now cite the definition of Hall words by induction on length:

1) x_i is a Hall word for any $x_i \in X$.

Suppose we define Hall words of length $< n$.

2) Normal word $[[v][w]]$ is called Hall word if and only if

- (a) both $[v]$ and $[w]$ are Hall words,
- (b) if $[v] = [[v_1][v_2]]$, then $[v_2] \leq [w]$.

We denote $[u]$ by $[[u]]$, if $[u]$ is a Hall word. Let

$$S_0 = \{([u][v])[w] - ([u][w])[v] - [u]([v][w]) \mid [u] > [v] > [w] \text{ and } [u], [v], [w] \text{ are Hall words}\}.$$

Lemma 4.2 *Let H be the set consisting of all Hall words. Then*

$$Red(S_0) = \{[u] \in N \mid [u] \neq [a\bar{s}b] \text{ } a, b \in X^*, s \in S_0 \text{ and } [asb] \text{ is a normal } s\text{-word}\} = H.$$

Proof. Suppose $[u] \in Red(S_0)$. We will show that $[u]$ is a Hall word by induction on $|[u]| = n$. If $n = 1$, then

$$[u] = x_i$$

which is already a Hall word. Let $n > 1$ and $[u] = [[v][w]]$. This case has two subcases. By induction, we have that $[v], [w]$ are Hall words.

Subcase 1. If $|v| = 1$, then $[u]$ is a Hall word.

Subcase 2. If $|[v]| > 1$ and $[v] = [[v_1][v_2]]$, then

$$[v_2] \leq [w]$$

for $[u] \in Red(S_0)$. So, $[u]$ is a Hall word.

It's clear that every Hall word is in $Red(S_0)$ since every subword of Hall word is also a Hall word. $\square$

The following lemma follows from Lemma 3.9 and Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 4.3 *In $AC(X)$, any normal word $[u]$ has the following presentation:*

$$[u] = \sum_i \alpha_i [[u_i]] + \sum_j \alpha'_j [u'_j]_{s'_j}$$

where $\alpha_i, \alpha'_j \in k$, $[[u_i]]$ are Hall words, $[u'_j]_{s'_j}$ normal S_0 -words, $s'_j \in S_0$, $[[u_i]], [u'_j]_{\overline{s'_j}} \leq [u]$. Moreover, each $[[u_i]]$ has the same length as $[u]$.

Lemma 4.4 *Suppose S and S_0 are sets defined as before. Then, in $AC(X)$, we have*

$$Id(S) = Id(S_0).$$

Proof. Since S_0 is a subset of S , it suffices to prove that $AC(X|S_0)$ is a Lie algebra. We need only to prove that, in $AC(X|S_0)$,

$$([u][v])[w] - ([u][w])[v] - [u]([v][w]) = 0,$$

where $[u], [v], [w] \in N$ and $[u] > [v] > [w]$. By Lemma 4.3, it suffices to prove

$$([u][v])[w] - ([u][w])[v] - [u]([v][w]) = 0,$$

where $[u] > [v] > [w]$. This is trivial by the definition of S_0 . $\square$

Theorem 4.5 *Let the order “ $<$ ” be as before and*

$$S_0 = \{([u][v])[w] - ([u][w])[v] - [u]([v][w]) \mid [u] > [v] > [w] \text{ and } [u], [v], [w] \text{ are Hall words}\}.$$

Then S_0 is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis in $AC(X)$.

Proof. To simplify notations, we write u for $[u]$ and $u_1u_2 \cdots u_n$ for $((((u_1)u_2) \cdots)u_n)$. Let

$$f_{uvw} = uvw - uuv - u(vw),$$

where u, v, w are Hall words and $u > v > w$. It is easy to check that $\overline{f_{uvw}} = uvw$.

Suppose $\overline{f_{u_1v_1w_1}}$ is a subword of $\overline{f_{uvw}}$. Since u, v, w are Hall words, we have $u_1v_1w_1 = uv, u = u_1v_1$ and $v = w_1$. We will prove that the composition

$$(f_{uvw}, f_{u_1v_1w_1})_{uvw}$$

is trivial modulo (S_0, uvw) . We note that $u_1 > v_1 > w_1 = v > w$.

Firstly, we prove that the following statements hold mod (S_0, uvw) :

- 1) $u_1vv_1w - u_1vww_1 - u_1v(v_1w) \equiv 0.$
- 2) $u_1(v_1v)w - u_1w(v_1v) - u_1(v_1vw) \equiv 0.$
- 3) $u_1wv_1v - u_1wvv_1 - u_1w(v_1v) \equiv 0.$
- 4) $u_1(v_1w)v - u_1v(v_1w) - u_1(v_1wv) \equiv 0.$
- 5) $u_1(vw)v_1 - u_1(vwv_1) - u_1v_1(vw) \equiv 0.$
- 6) $u_1vww_1 - u_1wvv_1 - u_1(vw)v_1 \equiv 0.$
- 7) $u_1v_1wv - u_1wv_1v - u_1(v_1w)v \equiv 0.$
- 8) $u_1(v_1vw) - u_1(v_1wv) - u_1(v_1(vw)) \equiv 0.$

We only prove 5). 1)–4) are similarly proved to 5) and 6)–8) follow from ELW's of S_0 .

By ELW's of S_0 , we may assume, without loss of generality, that vw is a Hall word. It's easy to check 5) holds in the following three cases: $vw > v_1$, $vw = v_1$ and $vw < v_1$. For example, let $vw > v_1$ and $E = u_1(vw)v_1 - u_1(vwv_1) - u_1v_1(vw)$. We consider the following cases: if $u_1 > vw$, then $E = f_{u_1(vw)v_1} \equiv 0$; if $u_1 = vw$, then $E = 0$; if $u_1 < vw$, then $E = -f_{(vw)u_1v_1} \equiv 0$. So 5) is proved.

Secondly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(f_{uvw}, f_{u_1v_1w_1})_{uvw} &= f_{uvw} - (f_{u_1v_1w_1})w \\ &= u_1vv_1w + u_1(v_1v)w - u_1v_1wv - u_1v_1(vw).\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$A = u_1vv_1w + u_1(v_1v)w \quad \text{and} \quad B = -u_1v_1wv - u_1v_1(vw).$$

Then, by 1)–8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}A &\equiv u_1vwwv_1 + u_1v(v_1w) + u_1w(v_1v) + u_1(v_1vw) \\ &\equiv u_1wvv_1 + u_1(vw)v_1 + u_1v(v_1w) + u_1w(v_1v) + u_1(v_1wv) + u_1(v_1(vw))\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}-B &= u_1v_1wv + (u_1v_1)(vw) \\ &\equiv u_1wv_1v + u_1(v_1w)v + u_1v_1(vw) \\ &\equiv u_1wvv_1 + u_1w(v_1v) + u_1v(v_1w) + u_1(v_1wv) + u_1v_1(vw).\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$(f_{uvw}, f_{u_1v_1w_1})_{uvw} = A + B \equiv u_1(vw)v_1 + u_1(v_1(vw)) - u_1v_1(vw) \equiv 0 \pmod{(S_0, uvw)}.$$

This completes our proof. $\square$

References

- [1] L.A. Bokut, Bases of free poly-nilpotent Lie algebras, *Algebra Logic* **2** (1963), 4, pp. 13-19.
- [2] L. A. Bokut: Unsolvability of the word problem, and subalgebras of finitely presented Lie algebras, *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat.* **36**(1972), pp. 1173-1219.
- [3] B. Buchberger: An algorithmical criteria for the solvability of algebraic systems of equations, *Aequationes Math.*, **4**(1970), pp. 374-383. (in German)
- [4] K.T. Chen, R.H. Fox, and R.C. Lyndon, Free differential calculus, IV: the quotient groups of the lower central series. *Annals of Mathematics* **68** (1958), pp. 81-95.
- [5] E.S. Chibrikov, A right normed basis of free Lie algebras and Lyndon–Shirshov words. *Journal of Algebra* **302** (2006), pp. 593 - 612.
- [6] K.W. Gruenberg, Private communication.

- [7] P. Hall, A contribution to the theory of groups of prime power order, *Proc. London Math. Soc. Ser. 2*, **36** (1933), pp. 29-95.
- [8] M. Hall, A basis for free Lie rings and higher commutators in free groups, *Proc Amer Math. Soc* **3**(1950), pp. 575-581.
- [9] H. Hironaka, Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero, I, II, *Ann. Math.*, **79**(2) (1964), pp. 109-203, 205-326.
- [10] W. Magnus, Über Beziehungen zwischen freien Kommutatoren, *J. Reine Angew. Math* **177**(1937), pp. 105-115.
- [11] C. Reutenauer, Free Lie algebras. Oxford Science Publications, 1993.
- [12] A.I. Shirshov, Subalgebras of free commutative and free anti-commutative algebras, *Mat. Sbornik*. **34(76)**(1954), pp. 81-88.
- [13] A.I. Shirshov, On free Lie rings, *Mat. Sbornik*. **45(87)**(1958), pp. 113-122.
- [14] A.I. Shirshov, Some algorithmic problems for ε -algebras, *Sibirsk. Mat.Z.* **3**(1962), 1, pp. 132-137.
- [15] A.I. Shirshov, Bases for free Lie algebras, *Algebra Logic*, **1**(1962),1, pp. 14-19.
- [16] A. I. Shirshov: Some algorithmic problem for Lie algebras, *Sibirsk. Mat. Z.*, **3**(1962), pp. 292-296 (in Russian); English translation in SIGSAM Bull. **33**(2)(1999), pp. 3-6.
- [17] E. Witt, Treue Darstellungen Lieschen Ringe, *J. Reine Angew. Math* **177**(1937), pp. 152-160.
- [18] A. I. Zhukov, Complete systems of defining relations in noassociative algebras, *Mat. Sbornik*, **69(27)**(1950), pp. 267-280.